

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,747	12/29/2000	Lawrence Henry Hudepohl	MIPS:0105.00US	7128	
23669	7590 11/16/2006		EXAMINER		
HUFFMAN LAW GROUP, P.C.			TREAT, WILLIAM M		
1900 MESA AVE. COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80906			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
002014.120	31141,00, 00 00,00		2181		
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/200	DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/751,747	HUDEPOHL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William M. Treat	2181
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) William M. Treat.	(3)	
(2) Richard K. Huffman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 November 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
none	,	
Prior art documents discussed: 1449 submitted on 2/22/2005.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand. 	the examiner will provide a condition of the substance	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
WILLIAM M. TRE PRIMARY EXAMI	AT NER	
W- D		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative had earlier phoned and left a message saying the examiner had, apparently, inadvertently omitted the initialed copy of the 1449 when sending his Notice of Allowance. The examiner called applicants' representative to say that he had, indeed, inadvertently omitted it when mailing the Notice of Allowance but the references did not alter his decision to allow the application, and he was sending an initialed copy with this examiner's interview summary.